UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,562	04/27/2006	Seok-Hyun Yun	036179US247538700030	3634
30873 7590 04/29/2009 DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 250 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10177			EXAMINER	
			LYONS, MICHAEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/577,562	YUN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MICHAEL A. LYONS	2877		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 F</u>	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 1-93,96 and 97 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 81-93 is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-80 and 96-97 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er.			
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 April 2006 is/are: a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 111008.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

### Response to Arguments

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' arguments, see the applicants' arguments and remarks, filed February 4, 2009, with respect to the rejections of the previously pending claims under 35 USC 102 and 35 USC 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of said previously pending claims have been withdrawn.

## Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on November 10, 2008 does not fully comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.98(b) because: it contains entries that fail to meet the criteria of 37 CFR 1.98(b)(2), 37 CFR 1.98(b)(4), and 37 CFR 1.98(b)(5). Since the submission appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH** from the date of this notice to supply the above mentioned omissions or corrections in the information disclosure statement. NO EXTENSION OF THIS TIME LIMIT MAY BE GRANTED UNDER EITHER 37 CFR 1.136(a) OR (b). Failure to timely comply with this notice will result in the above mentioned information disclosure statement being placed in the application file with the noncomplying information **not** being considered. See 37 CFR 1.97(i).

#### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the electrical analog filter of claims 7-10, 34-36, and 58-59 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Art Unit: 2877

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Objections

Claims 96-97 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims both read "wherein the spectrum containing" instead of reading "wherein the spectrum contains".

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 2877

Claims 1-62, 64-80, 98, and 97 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Regarding the above claims, the claims consistently recite "at least one" first, second, third, et al. arrangement, electromagnetic radiation, dual balanced receiver, polarization diverse receiver, and so on. However, examiner only finds enablement in the specification for one of each first, second, third, et al. arrangement, one electromagnetic radiation, one dual balanced receiver, one polarization diverse receiver, and on as in each individual embodiment as set forth in the specification. There can be no enablement of an apparatus containing more than one arrangement configured to do a thing if the specification only sets forth one arrangement configured to do said thing in each the embodiments set forth therein. Enablement exists for one arrangement, one receiver, and so on, but not "at least one".

Claims 3, 23, and 80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant has not pointed out where amended claims are supported, nor does there appear to be a written description of the claim limitation of a frequency shifter that is further configured to partially reduce, differentiate, or eliminate negative frequency components of the frequency in the application as filed.

Art Unit: 2877

Claims 13, 39, 46-62, and 64-70, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant has not pointed out where the amended claims are supported, nor does there appear to be a written description of the claim limitation of a polarization modulator or polarization modulation step that modulates the polarization of radiation over time in the application as filed. This applies directly to claims 13, 39, 46, and 69, and inherently to claims 47-62, 64-68, and 70 due to dependency on claims 46 or 69, and therefore containing all the limitations of the claim on which they depend.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-62, 64-80, and 96-97 may be allowable in view of the prior art of record if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As to claims 1, 20, 21, 45, 46, 69, 71, 79, and 80, the prior art of record, taken either alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious the claimed apparati and methods specifically for the reasons set forth in applicants' arguments dated February 4, 2009 in response to the previous Office action of record, and in combination with the rest of the limitations of the above claims.

Art Unit: 2877

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL A. LYONS whose telephone number is (571)272-2420. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael A. Lyons/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2877 April 27, 2009